UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS CORPUS CHRISTI DIVISION

ENTERED
February 13, 2020
David J. Bradlev. Clerk

BENJAMIN VASQUEZ,	§	
•	§	
Petitioner,	§	
	§	
v.	§	CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:19-CV-178
	§	
NFN WARDEN – FCI THREE RIVERS,	§	
	§	
Respondent.	§	

ORDER ADOPTING MEMORANDUM AND RECOMMENDATION

Before the Court is Magistrate Judge B. Janice Ellington's Memorandum and Recommendation (M&R), entered on November 21, 2019. (D.E. 13). The M&R recommends that the Court dismiss Vasquez's petition without prejudice for want of prosecution.

The parties were provided proper notice of, and the opportunity to object to, the Magistrate Judge's M&R. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); FED. R. CIV. P. 72(b); General Order No. 2002-13. No objection has been filed. When no timely objection has been filed, the district court need only determine whether the magistrate judge's memorandum and recommendation is clearly erroneous or contrary to law. *United States v. Wilson*, 864 F.2d 1219, 1221 (5th Cir. 1989) (per curiam); *Powell v. Litton Loan Servicing, LP*, No. CIV. A. H-14-2700, 2015 WL 3823141, at *1 (S.D. Tex. June 18, 2015).

Having carefully reviewed the proposed findings and conclusions of the Magistrate Judge, the filings of the parties, the record, and the applicable law, and finding that the

¹ Petitioner's mail was returned as undeliverable several times. As a party, he is required to keep the Court apprised of his current address at all times and has failed to do so.

M&R is not clearly erroneous or contrary to law, the Court ADOPTS the M&R in its entirety. (D.E. 13). Accordingly, the petition is DISMISSED without prejudice for want of prosecution.

SIGNED and ORDERED this 1216 day of February 202,

DAVID S. MORALES

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE